

April 2005

Is It Still Safe To Be An Independent Director?

At Seyfarth Shaw LLP, we pay particular attention to legal developments affecting corporate Board members. Of particular interest is a recent Delaware appellate court decision involving the Walt Disney Company. Independent directors have always taken comfort in the "business judgment rule" which says that if directors were loyal, careful, well informed and made decisions based on advice from legal counsel, investment bankers and other experts, they would be protected from liability. The Delaware court in *Disney* may have raised the bar by implying that its board could not rely on the business judgment rule if the directors exercised "no judgment" or if they failed to make a good faith attempt to fulfill their fiduciary duties. The directors in *Disney* had relied on a compensation expert who later admitted that he had failed to calculate the cost of a severance package. The *Disney* directors are still on trial.

You may have read recently about 11 former WorldCom directors personally agreeing to settle a case against them and others and to contribute \$20 million out of their own pockets to settle a case against the bankrupt WorldCom and its directors. In addition, the last former WorldCom member recently agreed to pay \$4.5 million out of his own pocket to settle the same claim. One of the plaintiffs, a New York state pension fund, had wanted the directors to be held accountable personally. In the *Enron* case, ten of Enron's former directors have agreed to personally pay a total of \$13 million to the plaintiffs to settle the securities class action. Those headlines have gotten the attention of independent directors everywhere.

The *WorldCom* and *Enron* cases were based on unusual facts involving allegations of fraud and bankrupt companies. However, many companies are facing accounting restatements or other issues which lead to shareholder lawsuits against companies and their boards and denials by insurance companies of D&O insurance coverage because of fraudulent applications or for other reasons. Prior to the *WorldCom* and *Enron* cases, most directors only wanted to know if they were indemnified, if their company had appropriate D&O insurance and the limits of that coverage. Now, they must know new concepts like "incontestability", "non-cancelable", "Side A coverage" and "severability."

Over the past three years, insurance companies have sharply increased premiums for traditional D&O insurance, which typically insures officers and directors as well as the com-

pany itself. This increase has caused a number of public companies to provide less than adequate liability insurance for its directors. Management at some companies have decided they simply cannot afford to insure directors at levels that would protect them from liability likely to be assessed in the event of a successful shareholders' lawsuit. As a result, a number of large public companies have had independent board members resign because the companies had failed to provide them with adequate liability insurance. Increasingly anxious board members have also seen insurers narrow the scope of coverage of D&O insurance policies by limiting the types of insured events, removing entity coverage for the corporation, lowering total coverage amounts and increasing retentions.

While it is still safe to serve on a corporate Board, it is now critical for directors to exercise good business judgment, be active, thorough and attentive, and seek out and base decisions on the advice of the outside experts. Do not be shy about retaining outside experts when you deem it to be appropriate. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act permits independent directors to hire outside experts at company expense.

In addition, appreciating the changed landscape for independent directors, insurance companies are responding with new insurance products designed to protect the independent director even when exclusions or competing claims under the company's traditional D&O policy would curtail coverage or make it unavailable. These policies are designed to protect the individual board member as an excess policy over the company's existing D&O coverage. Depending on the policy structure purchased, the policy would "drop down" and go into effect if the company's D&O coverage becomes unavailable for one or more of the following reasons:

- ◆ The company's D&O coverage has been rescinded for all directors and officers by virtue of the conduct of one or a few individual directors or officers, including conduct warranting a rescission or the breach of a non-severable warranty;
- ◆ The company's D&O policy was rescinded because of a restatement of earnings;
- ◆ The company's D&O policy was declared part of the bankruptcy estate of a bankrupt corporation;

- ◆ The company's D&O coverage limits have been exhausted;
- ◆ The company's underlying D&O policy is subject to a specific exclusion;
- ◆ The D&O insurance company is financially unable to pay.

These policies are designed to provide independent directors with extra protection from the substantial personal risk they face in connection with their current independent board responsibilities. Typically, the policy is purchased by the company on behalf of its independent board members, and the policy pays defense costs, settlements and judgments in connection with indemnifiable and non-indemnifiable claims. A typical independent director policy also includes excess coverage, which provides additional protection for independent directors in non-indemnifiable claims, and drops down to provide first-dollar coverage for non-indemnifiable losses when the traditional D&O policy is not available. The policies also contain no retention amount, and thus do not require the independent director to "buy in" to the policy once a claim arises, before coverage is triggered.

Independent directors should insist that the company have best-in-class governance practices, that there is adequate D&O coverage, that the company's D&O policy is non-cancelable, and that the directors have separate coverage so that a claim against the company and the officers or directors accused of wrongdoing won't exhaust the limits available for those Board members who are not culpable. These new, separate policies for directors are designed to provide independent directors with extra protection from the substantial personal risk they face in connection with their current independent board responsibilities. Doing so may cost the company additional premiums, but most likely will assure the company has on its board qualified independent directors. Without it many qualified candidates may decline board positions.

We have condensed these complicated issues to keep this memo brief but at the same time to call these important developments to your attention. Your Seyfarth Shaw corporate attorneys are available to talk about any of these issues in more detail.

This memo has been written by several Seyfarth Shaw attorneys, one of whom is also a director of a NYSE company and an active member of the National Association of Corporate Directors ("NACD"), and two of whom defend corporate directors and officers in complex securities litigation throughout the country.

This newsletter is a periodical publication of Seyfarth Shaw LLP and should not be construed as legal advice or a legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. For further information about these contents, please contact Seyfarth Shaw LLP.

ATLANTA

One Peachtree Pointe
1545 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 700
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-2401
404-885-1500
404-892-7056 fax

BOSTON

Two Seaport Lane, Suite 300
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2028
617-946-4800
617-946-4801 fax

CHICAGO

55 East Monroe Street, Suite 4200
Chicago, Illinois 60603-5803
312-346-8000
312-269-8869 fax

HOUSTON

700 Louisiana Street, Suite 3700
Houston, Texas 77002-2731
713-225-2300
713-225-2340 fax

LOS ANGELES

One Century Plaza
2029 Century Park East, Suite 3300
Los Angeles, California 90067-3063
310-277-7200
310-201-5219 fax

NEW YORK

1270 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 2500
New York, New York 10020-1801
212-218-5500
212-218-5526 fax

SACRAMENTO

400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350
Sacramento, California 95814-4428
916-448-0159
916-558-4839 fax

SAN FRANCISCO

560 Mission Street, Suite 3100
San Francisco, California 94105
415-397-2823
415-397-8549 fax

WASHINGTON, D.C.

815 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20006-4004
202-463-2400
202-828-5393 fax

BRUSSELS

Boulevard du Souverain 280
1160 Brussels, Belgium
(32)(2)647.60.25
(32)(2)640.70.71 fax