

The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt® PUBLICATION

JULY/AUGUST 2017

EDITOR'S NOTE: THE SUMMER READING ISSUE

Victoria Prussen Spears

CONSTRUCTION LOAN GUARANTEES

Mitchell S. Kaplan

**THE PASSWORD IS DEAD; IS KNOWLEDGE-BASED
AUTHENTICATION FAR BEHIND?**

Nicholas R. Merker, Nicole R. Woods, and Blaine L. Dirker

COURT GUTS LYONDELL TRUSTEE'S BANKRUPTCY-RELATED CLAIMS

Michael L. Cook

**CHAPTER 22 COMMENCEMENT TO CONFIRMATION IN JUST SIX DAYS:
EXPLORING *ROUST CORPORATION***

Andriana Georgallas

**NOTICE OVER SCIENCE: DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY COURT
ENFORCES BAR DATE AGAINST ASBESTOS CREDITOR
BASED ON ACTUAL NOTICE STANDARD**

Patrick M. Steel

**UPHEAVAL IN THE GERMAN RESTRUCTURING MARKET:
NEED-TO-KNOW FACTS, ALTERNATIVE TOOLS, AND NEW DRAFT LAW**

Thomas Fox, Frank Grell, Hendrik Hauke, Tobias Klass,
and Jörn Kowalewski

**CREATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE BASEL COMMITTEE ON
BANKING SUPERVISION AND THE RESULTING AGREEMENTS**

Stanyo Neykov Dinov

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 134

NUMBER 7

July/August 2017

Editor's Note: The Summer Reading Issue Victoria Prussen Spears	367
Construction Loan Guarantees Mitchell S. Kaplan	369
The Password Is Dead; Is Knowledge-Based Authentication Far Behind? Nicholas R. Merker, Nicole R. Woods, and Blaine L. Dirker	375
Court Guts Lyondell Trustee's Bankruptcy-Related Claims Michael L. Cook	379
Chapter 22 Commencement to Confirmation in Just Six Days: Exploring <i>Roust Corporation</i> Andriana Georgallas	387
Notice Over Science: Delaware Bankruptcy Court Enforces Bar Date Against Asbestos Creditor Based on Actual Notice Standard Patrick M. Steel	390
Upheaval in the German Restructuring Market: Need-to-Know Facts, Alternative Tools, and New Draft Law Thomas Fox, Frank Grell, Hendrik Hauke, Tobias Klass, and Jörn Kowalewski	393
Creation and Development of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and the Resulting Agreements Stanyo Neykov Dinov	399

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Matthew T. Burke at (800) 252-9257

Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.com

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385

Fax Number (800) 828-8341

Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISBN: 978-0-7698-8020-4 (eBook)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print)

ISSN: 2381-3512 (Online)

Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. Sheshunoff is a registered trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt® Publication

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

Barkley Clark
*Partner, Stinson Leonard Street
LLP*

John F. Dolan
*Professor of Law
Wayne State Univ. Law School*

David F. Freeman, Jr.
Partner, Arnold & Porter LLP

Satish M. Kini
*Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton
LLP*

Douglas Landy
*Partner, Milbank, Tweed,
Hadley & McCloy LLP*

Paul L. Lee
*Of Counsel, Debevoise &
Plimpton LLP*

Givonna St. Clair Long
*Partner, Kelley Drye & Warren
LLP*

Jonathan R. Macey
*Professor of Law
Yale Law School*

Stephen J. Newman
*Partner, Stroock & Stroock &
Lavan LLP*

Bimal Patel
Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

David Richardson
Partner, Dorsey & Whitney

Heath P. Tarbert
Partner, Allen & Overy LLP

Stephen B. Weissman
Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

Elizabeth C. Yen
Partner, Hudson Cook, LLP

Regional Banking Outlook
James F. Bauerle
*Keevican Weiss Bauerle & Hirsch
LLC*

Intellectual Property
Stephen T. Schreiner
Partner, Goodwin Procter LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form— by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise— or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 718.224.2258 (phone). Material for publication is welcomed— articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only

the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

Construction Loan Guarantees

*Mitchell S. Kaplan**

This article will discuss several different types of guarantees that may be required by lenders in order to minimize payment and performance risks associated with construction loans.

Commercial mortgage loans secured by existing income producing properties are often made on a non-recourse basis to the borrower (and its principals), other than with respect to customary limited recourse carve-outs. These permanent or mini-permanent loans generally have the benefit of real property collateral producing sufficient cash flow to service the lender's debt and cover operating expenses for the property. Construction loans, however, present a different risk profile for the mortgage lender due to, among other things, no cash flow being generated from the property during the course of construction, the possibility of the project not being completed within budget (or at all) and the increased risk of liens for labor and materials being filed against the property. In addition, even after construction is completed there is often a period of time prior to stabilization when there is insufficient cash flow to pay debt service on the loan and operating expenses for the property. Construction lenders require various mitigants to these and other construction related risks, such as requiring guaranteed maximum price contracts, payment and performance bonds and guarantees from creditworthy parties.

This article will discuss several different types of guarantees that may be required by lenders in order to minimize payment and performance risks associated with construction loans. Depending upon the underwriting for the particular project, a construction lender may require one or more of the guarantees discussed below. This article will not address the limited recourse carve out guarantees or environmental indemnities that are generally required for all construction loans since those guarantees/indemnities are required for most commercial mortgage loans, and therefore, are not unique to construction loans.

COMPLETION GUARANTEE

Construction lenders will typically require a creditworthy party to deliver a completion guarantee. The completion guarantee will provide, in pertinent

* Mitchell S. Kaplan is a partner in the Real Estate Group in the New York office of Seyfarth Shaw LLP. His practice is focused on financing, leasing, and conveyancing. The author may be contacted at mkaplan@seyfarth.com.

part, that the guarantor will guarantee the prompt and complete performance by the borrower of all of the terms of the loan agreement with respect to the design, construction and completion of the improvements that are the subject of the loan. The guarantor will be responsible for lien-free completion and all cost overruns and for all other costs that do not fall into the category of construction related expenses, such as interest charges under the loan, insurance premiums and real estate taxes. In certain instances, the portion of the completion guarantee that covers soft costs such as interest charges, insurance premiums and real estate taxes may only be in place until completion of the project or stabilization of the completed project. "Completion" under the guarantee is usually broadly defined to include punch list items.

To the extent a portion of the loan is budgeted for tenant improvement work for space leases, then the costs for such tenant improvements will likely be covered by the completion guarantee. This guarantee (or a separate guarantee) may also cover the obligation under the loan agreement that the borrower keep the loan "in balance." In other words, the guarantor may be required to deposit funds with the lender if the lender determines that the loan proceeds remaining to be advanced at any given time are insufficient to complete the project and pay for the operation and maintenance costs of the property and interest on the loan.

The construction lender may pursue its rights and remedies under the completion guarantee upon the occurrence of various events, including, among others, (i) the borrower's failure to commence or complete construction within the time periods set forth in the loan agreement, (ii) construction ceasing for an extended period of time resulting in a default under the loan agreement or (iii) the occurrence of any other event of default under the loan agreement. The completion guarantee will also require the guarantor to fully release and discharge all liens relating to the design or construction of the improvements.

The terms of the guarantee will likely provide that the lender will agree to advance funds to the guarantor under the terms of the loan agreement to enable the guarantor to complete the project. However, the agreement by the lender to so advance funds to the guarantor will be conditioned on (x) the guarantor's having cured all defaults under the loan agreement, with the possible exception of defaults that are personal to borrower and are unable to be cured by a third party, and excluding defaults relating solely to the borrower's failure to complete the project which will be cured by guarantor's performance under the completion guarantee and (y) the guarantor complying with the terms governing disbursements under the loan agreement. The guarantee will generally terminate upon the lien-free completion of the project and all

statutory periods for filing liens having expired, and the conditions necessary to satisfy completion and receipt of the final loan advance under the loan agreement having been satisfied.

In as much as the guarantor will likely be an affiliate of the borrower, if the borrower fails to timely complete the project, the guarantor may be unable or unwilling to step up and perform under the completion guarantee (in addition to the lender's likely having lost faith in the ability of the borrower-related parties to complete the project). Furthermore, even if the lender desired the guarantor to complete the project under the terms of the guaranty, the lender may have difficulty obtaining specific performance as a result of the lender having the ability to instead sue for damages under the completion guarantee.

If the guarantor fails to perform under the completion guarantee, then the lender may perform on behalf of the guarantor and demand payment to the lender of all losses in connection with the guarantor's failure to perform or the lender's performing or causing to be performed the guarantor's obligations. The guarantee may provide that the lender's losses are the lender's out-of-pocket costs and expenses necessary to complete the project (including interest charges, real estate taxes and other operating expenses) in excess of the undisbursed loan proceeds remaining under the budget—that is, cost overruns and other excess expenses necessary to complete the project. The foregoing concept may be incorporated into a liquidated damages clause in the completion guarantee which would provide that the guarantor will be liable for (i) all costs incurred or which would have been incurred in connection with the lien free completion of the project, including, among other things, all operating costs which would be incurred during the time period necessary to achieve completion, in excess of (ii) the undisbursed portion of the loan at the time of the borrower's default.

CARRY GUARANTEE

Construction lenders often require a carry guarantee from a principal of the borrower. This instrument may cover payments to the lender of all regular interest, default interest and late charges accruing on the loan, periodic principal payments (but excluding the balloon payment at maturity) and costs and expenses incurred in the course of maintenance and operation of the property. This guarantee may have some redundancy (for at least the period of time prior to completion or later termination event under the completion guarantee) with certain carry costs aspects of the completion guarantee. Lenders may agree to the termination of the carry guarantee upon a foreclosure or the tender of a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, or in certain limited instances, upon the property achieving stabilization, which would include meeting certain debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR") tests or other agreed upon financial thresholds.

The potential release of the guarantor upon a foreclosure or deed-in-lieu may incentivize the borrower/guarantor to cooperate with the lender in handing the keys over to the lender in the event of a failed or stalled project to enable the lender to minimize its losses by bringing in a different developer/sponsor to complete the project or lease up the property.

In those transactions where there is an early release mechanism for the carry guarantee based upon the property achieving stabilization, the guarantor would no longer bear the risk of the property falling on difficult times after stabilization (whether due to macro-economic conditions or otherwise) prior to the loan being repaid in full (such as during any loan extension periods).

In instances where the lender is willing to permit a termination of the guarantee upon the tender of a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, the lender would not be required to accept the deed but the mere tender of the deed would release the guarantor (on a going forward basis) subject, however, to numerous conditions being satisfied, including, among others, the following: clean title, clean environmental report, payment by the guarantor or the borrower of all realty transfer taxes, title insurance charges, environmental report costs, legal fees, and other costs and expenses incurred by the lender, delivery of all tenant security deposits and prepaid rents and indemnifications for any claims by tenants relating to such deposits/prepaid rents, a general release in favor of the lender, payment of all sums that have accrued and are due and payable under the guarantee as of the tender date and payment of a tender fee to the lender.

PAYMENT GUARANTEE

In some circumstances, construction lenders may require a payment guarantee for the loan. The guaranteed obligations under this particular guarantee may cover all principal, interest and any and all other indebtedness and liabilities of borrower in connection with the loan. Depending upon the facts and circumstances of the project and the borrower sponsor, the lender may agree to limit the payment obligations of the guarantor to a maximum percentage or dollar amount of the outstanding principal of the loan, plus accrued and unpaid interest, and any expenses in enforcing the guarantee. In other circumstances, a full payment guarantee may be required at the closing of the loan but the guarantee may have certain "burn off" provisions which would provide for a reduction (or elimination) of the guaranteed amount upon the project being completed, the property achieving certain financial thresholds, such as achieving an agreed upon DSCR for a prescribed period of time, and there then being no existing event of default.

In those limited situations where the lender is willing to make loan advances on a fast track basis (i.e., prior to approval by the lender of final plans and

specifications for the improvements and prior to issuance of all building permits), the lender may require the guarantee to cover all loan advances prior to approval of final plans and issuance of all permits, but once those conditions are satisfied, the principal payment obligations of the guarantor may be limited in dollar amount. Partial payment guarantees will most likely recite that they are guaranteeing the last dollars of the loan, and therefore, the guaranteed amount shall not be reduced by partial prepayments, reserves, condemnation or casualty proceeds, or proceeds from foreclosure sales.

OTHER GUARANTEES

Based upon the location of the property and the deal specifics, there may be other guarantees required by the construction lender, such as guarantees covering payments from the borrower to third parties. For instance, if the improvements to be constructed will rely on parking located on an adjacent parcel not owned by the borrower that is the subject of a parking agreement between the borrower and the adjacent property owner, the lender may require a payment and performance guarantee with respect to that parking agreement (in addition to some type of recognition agreement with the adjacent property owner). In addition, for condo developments in certain jurisdictions, such as Florida, where the developer may have the right to utilize condo unit deposits for construction costs, the lender may require a deposit guarantee to cover any insufficiency of unit deposits which were budgeted for construction costs but were not received from contract vendees by an agreed upon date.

FINANCIAL COVENANTS OF GUARANTORS

Construction lenders will likely require that its guarantors comply with liquidity and/or cash balance covenants and net worth covenants. The cost to build the project, the proposed loan amount and the financial condition of the guarantor at the time of loan origination will be relevant to the lender's determination of the financial covenants imposed on the guarantor. The required minimum liquidity or cash balance must reflect the unencumbered amounts of such assets and may be satisfied by the guarantor's delivery of an acceptable letter of credit in favor of the lender. In addition, in certain instances where the guarantor is an institution as opposed to an individual, the lender may allow funds available from certain qualified, unconditional, unencumbered capital commitments to count toward the liquidity and net worth requirements of the guarantor.

CONCLUSION

Construction lenders face certain risks not generally associated with term loans secured by performing income producing properties. These risk are partially mitigated by the delivery of credit enhancements in the form of guarantees from creditworthy parties. Each construction loan transaction is unique and may require one or more guarantees to cover certain obligations of the borrower, and these additional guarantees are intended to provide comfort to the lender that the project will be completed on time, in a lien-free manner, and its loan will be timely repaid.