

## April 25, 2019

The House and Senate are adjourned this week for their State/District work periods.

Acosta to Testify Before House Ed and Labor. The House Committee on Education and Labor has set a time for their questioning of Labor Secretary Alex Acosta. On May 1, 2019, the Secretary will be the sole witness at a <u>hearing</u> titled "Examining the Policies and Priorities of the U.S. Department of Labor." This is the first opportunity the new leadership of the House committee of jurisdiction will have to question Secretary Acosta on issues ranging from enforcement priorities to apprenticeships to tipped employees and the rest of DOL's regulatory agenda.

**Supreme Court Agrees to Hear Title VII Protects Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity** . . . Earlier this week, the Supreme Court granted review to a trio of Title VII cases raising the issue of whether Title VII prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. See Seyfarth's <u>Employment Law Lookout blog</u> for more.

... And Requires Express Agreement for Class Arbitration. The Supreme Court also ruled that class arbitration "fundamentally" changes the nature of the "traditional individualized arbitration" envisioned by the Federal Arbitration Act and, thus, requires an express agreement of the parties. For more on the decision, check out the <u>Wage & Hour Litigation blog</u>.

**More #MeToo.** The Illinois Senate unanimously passed the <u>Workplace Transparency Act</u>. This all-encompassing sexual harassment bill hits all of the big ticket workplace sexual harassment hot topics, including imposing sexual harassment training and extensive reporting requirements; banning non-disclosure agreements, arbitration clauses, and non-disparagement clauses; and establishing hefty penalties for non-compliance. Follow the <u>Employment Law Lookout blog</u> for more.

**EEO-1 Form.** In this ongoing saga, Judge Tanya Chutkan, with the U.S. District Court for D.C., held a hearing this morning on implementation of the new EEO-1 Form, Component 2. Cutting to the chase, she stated that 1) EEOC must take all necessary steps to collect 2017 and 2018 Component 2 data by September 30, 2018 **OR** notify the Court and Plaintiffs by May 3, 2019, that instead of collecting 2017 data (the agency must still collect 2018 data by September 30), it intends to collect Component 2 data for 2019 in the 2020 reporting period; and that 2) the expiration date of the Component 2 collection authorization number under the Paperwork Reduction Act originally granted by OMB of September 30, 2018 would be tolled, i.e. extended for the period of OMB's stay (553 days) until April 5, 2021. As previously reported, Seyfarth filed two amicus briefs in this case on behalf of the business community and will be holding a webinar on Monday, April 29, 2019 at 12:00 p.m. CT to provide an update as to status and detailed compliance guidance. Stay tuned for further information on that webinar, or <u>click here</u> to pre-register.

Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Policy Matters | April 25, 2019

©2019 Seyfarth Shaw LLP. All rights reserved. "Seyfarth Shaw" refers to Seyfarth Shaw LLP (an Illinois limited liability partnership). Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

## By: Randy Johnson and Alex Passantino

Randy Johnson is a Partner in Seyfarth Shaw's Washington, DC office and chairs the firm's <u>Government Relations and Policy</u> <u>Practice Group</u> (GRPG). Alex is a Partner in Seyfarth Shaw's Washington, DC office and Co-Chair of the firm's Wage and Hour Litigation Practice Group.

## www.seyfarth.com

Attorney Advertising. This is a periodical publication of Seyfarth Shaw LLP and should not be construed as legal advice or a legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general information purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Any tax information or written tax advice contained herein (including any attachments) is not intended to be and cannot be used by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer. (The foregoing legend has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice.)

## Seyfarth Shaw LLP | Policy Matters | April 25, 2019

©2019 Seyfarth Shaw LLP. All rights reserved. "Seyfarth Shaw" refers to Seyfarth Shaw LLP (an Illinois limited liability partnership). Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.